DUAL-POLARIZATION HAIL SIGNATURES ABOVE THE MELTING LAYER IN THUNDERSTORMS
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ABSTRACT

Identification and sizing of hail is important for warning operations and post-storm activities, such as identifying where the largest hail may have fallen. Recently the National Weather Service in the United States upgraded its operational radar fleet to polarimetric capabilities. Dual-polarization variables, such as differential reflectivity (ZDR) and correlation coefficient (CC), can be useful in not only identifying areas with hail, but also the size of that hail. The Severe Hazards Analysis and Verification Experiment (SHAVE), run by CIMMS and the National Severe Storms Laboratory in Norman, OK, is tasked with collecting reports of hail, including maximum and average sizes, hail fall times, and ground coverage, in the wake of thunderstorms across the contiguous United States. The reports are collected at a high spatial resolution, with median report spacing near 2 km.

Eight cases with SHAVE reports were analyzed. These cases came from storms that were within 125 km of the nearest radar and produced at least 1 report of giant hail (diameter equal to or exceeding 51 mm). The primary signature investigated was the ZDR column and attributes of those columns. The ZDR column can be used as a proxy for updraft strength since it implies the lofting of supercooled liquid water droplets above the melting layer. These supercooled droplets may contribute to large hail growth. The ZDR column height relative to the melting layer and the CC values within the ZDR column were recorded. The location of the ZDR column was compared to SHAVE reports. The goal for the analyses were to spatially and temporally relate the ZDR column characteristics to the maximal surface hail size (e.g., does the appearance of a ZDR column mean surface hail fall of a certain hail size within 20 minutes?). The results of the analyses and discussions on the feasibility of a ZDR column algorithm and application of the results to the hail size discrimination algorithm will be presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

The detection of hail is important for the National Weather Service (NWS) when issuing warnings, especially when identifying storms that are considered severe. Currently the techniques for hail identification using single-polarization radar include the use of vertically integrated liquid (VIL; Greene and Clark 1972), VIL density (Amburn and Wolf 1997), the Hail Discrimination Algorithm (Witt et al. 1998a), reflectivity heights above environmental temperature heights (Donavon and Junbluth 2007), or the identification of three-body scatter spikes (Lemon 1998).

When the NWS upgraded the WSR-88D to polarimetric capabilities in 2011, new radar products became available for operational use. These products include differential reflectivity (ZDR) and correlation coefficient (CC). ZDR is the logarithmic difference between the horizontal and vertical return power channels. An increasingly positive ZDR identifies particles with increasingly horizontal orientations (i.e., large rain drops), while ZDR values near 0 dB identify more spherical-shaped particles or tumbling particles, which may have no preferred orientation (i.e., large hailstones), and increasingly negative ZDR values indicate increasingly vertical orientations (i.e., some types of ice crystals). CC is a measure of the correlation between the horizontal and vertical channels. A CC value of 1 indicates there is a strong correlation, with decreasing values showing lesser correlation. Values below 0.7 are typically considered non-meteorological. Depressed CC values may help indicate areas with mixed hydrometeors (i.e., rain and hail) or even the presence of giant hail (Balakrishnan and Zrnić 1990). Kumjian (2013a,b,c) outlines a description
of these new variables, their applications, and artifacts that present barriers to the proper analysis of these products.

Previous research for polarimetric hail detection is summarized in Heinselman and Ryzhkov (2006). A Hydrometeor Classification Algorithm (HCA; Park et al. 2009) has been implemented on the WSR-88D network and uses 6 polarimetric variables and variable derivatives to identify 10 hydrometeor classes with a fuzzy logic scheme. As implemented the HCA only identifies areas of rain and hail mix, not specific hail sizes. Ryzhkov et al. (2013a,b) used 1-D and 2-D cloud modeling to develop an initial Hail Size Discrimination Algorithm (HSDA) which takes HCA designations, with a fuzzy logic scheme, of rain/hail and reclassifies those designations into 3 size classes: small (hailstone diameters less than 25 mm), large (hailstone diameters between 25 and 51 mm), and giant (hailstone diameters exceeding 51 mm). The importance of the HSDA is that hailstone melting is accounted for when determining the membership functions for the polarimetric variables for the 3 hail size classifications. This is compared to other hail detection techniques, such as Hail Differential Reflectivity (Aydin et al. 1986, Depue et al. 2007), which use the same relationship regardless of the melting state of hail. Ortega et al. (2015) evaluated the HSDA, along with developing observed, vertical profiles of polarimetric variables, and found the theoretical assumptions of Ryzhkov et al. (2013a) to be valid.

A limitation of the Ortega et al. (2015) study and the HSDA in general is that the updraft indicators above the melting level are not necessarily dependent on large values of horizontal reflectivity, \( Z_H \). Above the melting level, the HSDA almost exclusively focuses upon high \( Z_H \) regions since \( Z_{DR} \) loses its discrimination power. The location of the updraft indicators may not be co-located with hail, but instead serve as a proxy to potential hail size produced by such an updraft. The weak echo, or bounded weak echo, region is one obvious signature (Warning Decision Training Branch 2012). Another is the \( Z_{DR} \) column (Kumjian et al. 2012). This study will investigate the signatures above the melting level and try to better relate these signatures to surface hail fall.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 \( Z_{DR} \) Columns

A thorough review plus a study into the anatomy, formation, and decay, of \( Z_{DR} \) columns can be found in Kumjian et al. (2014). The \( Z_{DR} \) column is a columnar shape of positive \( Z_{DR} \) values above the melting level. The \( Z_{DR} \) column is formed by the updraft lofting raindrops above the melting level. While larger raindrops may fall out of the updraft, smaller raindrops may be lofted to higher altitudes and colder temperatures. These drops may serve as hail embryos as they freeze during ascent. Since freezing is not instantaneous, the partially frozen drops could possibly be co-located with liquid water and completely frozen particles resulting in depressed CC values.

A result of the modeling within Kumjian et al. (2014) was the onset of increased hail mass at the surface following a peak in the \( Z_{DR} \) height. An observational study (Picca et al. 2011) found similar lags using \( Z_H \) area ratios (60-to-40 dBZ) below the melting level, instead of observed hail.

![Figure 1: Cross-section of \( Z_{DR} \) (left) and \( Z_H \) (right). Note the co-location of the \( Z_{DR} \) column and BWER, confirming the location of the updraft.](image)

2.2 SHAVE

The Severe Hazard Analysis and Verification Experiment (SHAVE), run by CIMMS and the National Severe Storms Laboratory in Norman, OK, is tasked with the collection of hail reports in the contiguous United States in the wake of thunderstorms (Ortega et al. 2009). Information on maximum and average sizes, hail fall times, and
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ground coverage, is collected through phone surveys of impacted population. The reports are collected at a high spatial resolution, with a median spacing near 2 km (Fig. 2). The collection of null and non-severe reports is unique to SHAVE as this information would not normally appear in records of hail reports collected by the NWS and published within Storm Data. A limitation of the SHAVE reports is the time of the hail fall report is usually imprecise since SHAVE records the reports start and end time of hail fall in general, and not for the maximum hail size. However, SHAVE locations are generally accurate, which can allow for novel matching methods to pair SHAVE reports to storms.

Figure 2: Plan view of $Z_V$ with SHAVE reports from that storm. Spacing of reports can be inferred using legend for estimation. Hail category sizes listed. Hail considered severe when diameter exceeds 25 mm (1-in).

3. METHOD

3.1 Cases

Eight storms from a potential population of 26 were analyzed. The 18 cases excluded from this study were due to poor $Z_{DR}$ calibrations, leading to false values of $Z_{DR}$ column height. All storms were detected within 125 km of the nearest WSR-88D, produced at least one report of giant hail, and are classified as supercellular. All report data originated from SHAVE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Radar</th>
<th>No Hail</th>
<th>Small Hail</th>
<th>Large Hail</th>
<th>Giant Hail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2013</td>
<td>KFWS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 June 2013</td>
<td>KABR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 July 2013</td>
<td>KICT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 May 2014</td>
<td>KILX</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 June 2014</td>
<td>KOAX</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 June 2014</td>
<td>KDYX</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 June 2014</td>
<td>KOAX</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 June 2014</td>
<td>KDMX</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Table of cases analyzed.

3.2 Products

Polarimetric data from the nearest WSR-88D of the storm was first processed through the operational preprocessor (Istok et al. 2009). The preprocessor applies a number of corrections, including to $Z_{DR}$ for system biases, and smooths the data along the radial. These smoothed fields are then used to generate the products used within this study. Four primary products were created for this study:

1) $Z_{DR}$ column height, which was defined as the height of 0.5 dB above the height of the wet-bulb equal to 0°C, $H(T_w=0ºC)$
2) CC at $H(T_w=0ºC)$ within the $Z_{DR}$ column
3) CC at $H(T_w=-10ºC)$ within the $Z_{DR}$ column
4) CC at $H(T_w=-20ºC)$ within the $Z_{DR}$ column

Storms were manually interrogated to locate the $Z_{DR}$ column and match the corresponding minimum isothermal CC values. Other attributes of the storm were also recorded, such as the presence of a three-body scatter spike or whether a weak-echo or bounded weak-echo region was present.
3.3 Storm Tracking and Lead Time

The location of the Z\textsubscript{DR} column was recorded along with the time of the 0.5 degree tilt of the corresponding volume. The locations and times of the Z\textsubscript{DR} columns along a storm path were used to create a storm motion. This storm motion was used to pair the storm and the storm attributes with SHAVE reports that were downstream from the location.

Using longitude and latitude coordinates of the selected location, the storm motion was calculated between each time step. An initial 5 km half circle was then traced around the location of the Z\textsubscript{DR} column with edges added to the half circle that deflected off the previously calculated storm motion by +/- 22.5 degrees. The polygon was "capped" at the locations downstream of the storm which were at a maximum of 35 minutes away from the storm location for the given storm motion. This polygon captures the track of the storm, as well as approximate lead times for hail fall. SHAVE reports were matched to the storm location using the storm motion and location and the report location. Figure 5 shows an estimation of lead times in one particular polygon.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Z\textsubscript{DR} Column Height

In Figure 6, the evolution of Z\textsubscript{DR} column height with time in a single storm shows several peaks in Z\textsubscript{DR} column height. One peak is associated with the onset of large hail fall at the surface afterwards and another peak is associated with the onset of giant hail fall. Though these are peaks in Z\textsubscript{DR} column height, they are not necessarily the absolute maximum. Additionally, not every local maximum is proceeded by large or giant hail. This general pattern was seen in each individual case.
In Figure 7, data from all cases was combined and the \( Z_{DR} \) column height and maximal hail fall were analyzed with respect to the lead time prior to observing hail at the surface. Results do not confirm a particular relationship. Surprisingly, the small hail during shorter lead times (0-5 min., <10min.) lean towards a higher \( Z_{DR} \) column height. However looking at large and giant hail, there appears to be no bias towards any particular height or lead time. The same plot was analyzed for each case individually with similar results.

![Figure 6: Hail categories associated with \( Z_{DR} \) column height separated by lead times.](image)

### 4.2 Minimum CC in \( Z_{DR} \) Column Height

An analysis of the minimum CC within the \( Z_{DR} \) column at all isothermal levels revealed a qualitative “large” drop in CC at all levels prior to or just as giant hail was observed at the surface. No particular values or ranges were determined for the drop, though relative to the typical values for the storm, the drop appeared large. Additionally, the timing of the giant hail fall at the surface was not uniform among all cases, though the giant hail fall did occur after the drop in CC.

![Minimum CC value at 0°C and hail size at surface 2014 08 16 KDX(1)](image)

![Minimum CC value at -10°C and hail size at surface 2014 08 16 KDX(1)](image)

![Minimum CC value at -20°C and hail size at surface 2014 08 16 KDX(1)](image)

![Figure 7: Minimum CC within the \( Z_{DR} \) column tracked for one case at three isothermal levels: 0°C (top), -10°C (middle), and -20°C (bottom).](image)

In an attempt to relate the minimum CC value within the \( Z_{DR} \) column at each isotherm to the height of the \( Z_{DR} \) column with respect to the category of hail at the surface, no real correlation appeared (Fig. 9). Each individual case was plotted in this manner and the same result was shown.
Figure 8: ZDR column height compared to minimum CC within the ZDR column by hail category. Minimum CC compared at three isothermal levels: 0°C (top), -10°C (middle), and -20°C (bottom).

5. DISCUSSION

Proper ZDR calibration is needed not just for proper manual interrogation of storms, but also for proper operation of algorithms, which rely on ZDR. Many (~70%) of the available cases analyzed were excluded from analysis due to positive ZDR bias. It is important that future operational support for the polarized WSR-88D fleet increase such that a random sample of events does not result in 70% of those cases resulting in poor research quality of polarimetric variables.

All of the thunderstorms analyzed were supercells and produced giant hail. These restrictions on the case types may have restricted the variety of results possible. It is possible that supercells share similarly structured ZDR columns that do not behave the same or demonstrate the same results pertaining to giant hail fall that are expected. A greater variety of storm types and maximal hail sizes will be needed in the future to better understand the relationship between the ZDR column and surface hail fall.

It is possible that the analysis was too narrow, focusing only on one primary feature, the ZDR column, and its attributes. In order to fully analyze the evolution of ZDR columns with respect to surface hail fall, investigating other features may be beneficial. For example, Picca and Ryzhkov (2012) indicate the value of analyzing high ZH and the evolution and length of the three-body scatter spike in conjunction with other recognized features.

6. SUMMARY

Eight cases with SHAVE reports were analyzed. All eight cases were supercells that produced at least a single report of giant hail. The ZDR column, and attributes thereof, were compared to maximal surface hail fall. No significant results were found. However, all cases analyzed displayed a decrease in minimum CC within the ZDR column at 0°C, -10°C, and -20°C, around the same time or just prior, giant hail fall was reported.
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